UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

RODNEY KENNETH HINES SR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

VALLEY VIEW SENIOR APARTMENTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-02117-RFB-MDC

**ORDER** 

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 5) of the Honorable Maximiliano D. Couvillier, III, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on March 15, 2024. A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by March 29, 2024. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with all the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

## 

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 5)
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case be DISMISSED without prejudice.
4	The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.
5	
6	DATED: April 16, 2024
7	
8	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	